How Are We Doing?



Using the The California Municipal Financial Health Diagnostic to Evaluate Your Agency's Financial Health

According to economists, California's "great recession" ran from December 2007 and ended in August 2009. These years, and those following have been difficult for local governments all around. In addition to deep reductions in local government revenue, cities, counties and special districts have struggled with state take-aways of local funds, the dissolution of redevelopment agencies, and mounting costs of retiree pensions and benefits. The severity and combination of these financial impacts varies but in a few well-known instances, cities have had to seek the legal protections of Chapter 9 Bankruptcy to restructure their contractual obligations, and at least one county required financial intervention from the state.

Grappling effectively with financial distress before it becomes a crisis first requires a shared understanding of the financial condition of the local government. A critical component of the difficulties of the most financially distressed local governments has been a lack of recognition and agreement among local leaders, staff and key interests (labor, retirees, creditors) regarding the agency's financial condition and what must be done in order to achieve sustainability. Most financial crises can be managed without court or outside agency intervention if leaders, staff and key interests have the courage, competence, and collaborative attitudes to 1) recognize and agree upon the local government's financial condition, and 2) implement the necessary changes to set the local government on a sustainable financial course.

Defining Local Government Financial Health

Government financial health may be viewed in four related financial contexts: 1) cash solvency - the ability to meet immediate financial obligations – generally over the next 30 or 60 days (accounts payable, payroll); 2) budgetary solvency - the ability to meet all financial obligations during a budget year; 3) long-run solvency – the ability to meet all financial obligations into the future; and 4) service-level solvency – the ability to provide the desired level of services for the general health and welfare of a community.^[1] In the context of today's difficult financial climate, we are primarily concerned with the ability of the local government to meet its financial commitments now and into the future (cash, budgetary, and long-run solvency). A more expansive evaluation of financial condition would examine the community's economic environment and ability to meet the service level desires of the community (service-level solvency).

A government is in *financial distress* if it has a continuing imbalance between its level of financial commitments and its available financial resources over time. If revenues and spending are not brought into balance, *financial distress* can progress into financial crisis, when the government is unable to meet its financial obligations.

Determining Local Government Financial Health

There have been many government financial health monitoring systems devised over the last several decades. Each approach consists of a series of financial indicators or measures that address a local government's ability to meet the needs of its constituents. The International City/County Management Association's (ICMA) venerable Financial Trend Monitoring System (FTMS) consists of some 42 financial measures including factors assessing the economic environment of the community, the finances of the jurisdiction, and its managerial practices and legislative policies.^[2] Building on the FTMS and various other systems and policies developed by academics and governments, this tool distills the most essential indicators assessing financial health with a pragmatic focus on an agency's level of financial distress heading into financial crisis.

This **California Municipal Financial Health Diagnostic** is primarily concerned with the ability of the local government to meet its financial commitments now and into the future (cash, budgetary, and long-run solvency). In contrast to many other financial health monitoring systems, this tool looks at recent past and near future financial measures and indicators to provide an assessment of the local government's level of financial distress and tendency toward financial crisis.

The **California Municipal Health Diagnostic** is focused first and foremost on the general fund, but may also be applied to other funds. It focuses on the local government's operating position, going beyond reported finances to focus on recurring revenues and expenditures (by distinguishing temporary non-recurring revenues and expenditures) and taking into account any unbudgeted but real current costs of services. The tool includes an evaluation of unsustainable or risky budget practices that indicate an agency in distress and tending toward crisis. The foundation of the diagnosis is an examination of discretionary revenues and spending, distinguishing and identifying the effects of programatic revenues and spending on discretionary resources.

Unique Aspects of California Local Government Finance^[3]

California local governments' tax and revenue raising choices are strictly limited. Property tax is the single most important source of general purpose revenue for most cities, counties and many special districts. Yet local governments have no authority over the property tax base, rate or allocation. Other tax increases require voter approval.

Over the last several decades since Proposition 13 (1978), the state has shifted property tax revenues, vehicle license taxes, and eliminated virtually all general purpose state aid to local governments. At the same time, the Legislature has shifted greater program responsibilities and imposed greater mandates but with limited funding. In response, local governments sought and voters approved, several constitutional measures protecting local government finances from further legislative actions.

Most remaining city general purpose revenues are no longer vulnerable to additional state takeaways.[4] Consequently, unlike municipalities in other states or in California in previous times, assessing the financial health of California cities has little to do with the amount or proportion of intergovernmental revenues (which, in any case, is minimal for nearly all cities and special districts).

California counties have much more limited revenue raising authority than cities and remain, by their nature, highly dependent on state budgetary actions. The state Legislature may delegate to counties any of the functions which belong to the state itself. Counties are responsible for frontline municipal services in unincorporated areas; for countywide local services such as jails, elections. and property tax administration: and for state programs delegated to counties such as health and human services.

The California Municipal Financial Health Diagnostic consists of:

1. **The Financial Distress Checklist.** A fourteen point list of key indicators to assess the near term financial health of your local government's general fund and other operations. The checklist is intended for use by policy makers and community members to ask the important questions and get the necessary answers.

2. **The Financial Health Indicators.** Linked to the fourteen point Financial Distress Checklist, the Financial Health Indicators provide more detailed formulas and methods for determining financial condition and will need to be completed by a team of qualified financial analysts.

WARNING SIGNS Indications of Crisis

□ Failure to pay an undisputed claim from a creditor within 90 days past claim date.

□ Failure to forward income taxes withheld or Social Security contributions for over 30 days past the due data

□ Failure to make *required* pension fund contributions on time.

 \Box Missing a payroll for 7 days.

Available unrestricted balance for the general fund at the end of the current fiscal year will be negative.

© 2016 CaliforniaCityFinance.com

[1] Justice, Jonathan and Scorsone, Eric. "Measuring and Predicting Local Government Fiscal Stress" in Levine, Helisse; Justice, Jonathan; Scorsone, Eric. Handbook of Local Government Fiscal Health. Jones and Bartlett Learning, Burlington, MA 2012.

[2] Groves, Sanford M. and Valente, Maureen Godsey. Revised Nollenburg, Karl. Evaluating Financial Condition: A Handbook for Local Government, 4th edition. International City/County Manager Association (ICMA), USA, 2003.

[3] For more information, see Multari, Coleman, Hampian and Statler, Guide to Local Government Finance in California, Solano Press Books, 2012.

[4] The continuing impacts of the dissolution of Redevelopment Agencies notwithstanding. These impacts should be taken into account as appropriate in these financial health measures.

Questions, comments, improvements? Michael Coleman 530-758-3952 coleman @muniwest.com

CaliforniaCityFinance.com, The California Local Government Finance Alamanac © 2016 CaliforniaCityFinance.com

	City of Hercules General Fund		
	The California Municipal Financial Health Diagnostic		. 1
	Financial Health Indicators - Summary Ch		st
		Rating	
	 Net Operating deficit / surplus. There are no recurring general fund operating deficits. General revenues are sufficient to meet the net general revenue demand of programs. 		Caution
	2. Fund balance. Reserves are sufficient over multiple consecutive years and in compliance with adopted policy.		Caution
Measures	3. Capital Asset Condition. The city is keeping pace with the aging of its capital assets.		Healthy
Meas	4. Liquidity. Cash and short-term investments are sufficient to cover current liabilities (including short-term debt and accounts payable within 60 days).		Healthy
	5. Fixed costs & labor costs. Fixed costs (including debt service and other contractual obligations), salaries and benefits are stable or decreasing over multiple years relative to reasonably expected revenue growth.		Healthy
	6. General fund subsidies of other funds. Any general fund subsidies other enterprises or special funds are sustainable and in compliance with adopted policy.		Healthy
	7. Constraints on budgetary discretion. The city council's authority to make budget changes is not excessively constrained by charter, contract, or law.		Healthy
	8. Balancing the budget with temporary funds. The use of reserves, selling assets, deferring asset maintenance to balance the general fund has been limited, prudent and in compliance with adopted policy.		Caution
suo	9. Balancing the budget with borrowing. The use of short-term borrowing, internal borrowing or transfers from special funds to balance the general fund has been limited, prudent and in compliance with adopted policy.		Healthy
and Conditions	10. Balancing the budget by deferring employee compensation costs. Pension liabilities and other non-salary benefits have been determined, disclosed and actuarially funded in compliance with adopted policy.		Healthy
Practices a	11. Balancing the budget with backloaded debt service payments. Debt service payments have not been "backloaded" into future years.		Healthy
Pra	12. Funding operating costs with non-recurring revenues. Ongoing operating costs are being funded with ongoing rather than temporary revenues (e.g., from development, etc.)		Healthy
	13. Timeliness and accuracy of financial reports. Financial Reports are being filed on time. (CAFR, Annual Audit, State Controller's Financial Transactions Report)		Healthy
	14. Service level solvency. Public service levels meet the standards and needs in this community.		Caution

The California Municipal Financial Health Diagnostic: Financial Health Indicators City of Hercules General Fund

Operating Deficit/Surplus

The simplest measure of annual operating deficit/surplus is the difference between total revenues and total spending. General fund operating deficits may be an indication that the government's financial condition is unsustainably out of balance and that corrections to bring the finances into a sustainable condition are not occurring. Though an operating deficit in any one year may not be a cause for concern (because, for example, reserves or one-time remedies might be available to cover the difference), frequent and increasing deficits may indicate that the governments activities are not sustainable within the revenues available over time.

Taken as a percentage of total revenues, the local government's operating deficit/surplus tells us very plainly if current resources in the fund are sufficient to cover current expenditures. The data to compute this measure should be readily available in the financial statements (comprehensive annual financial reports) of the agency. This is measure 1A below.

But a budget can be balanced and an ongoing structural problem masked if a deficit is covered by temporary solutions, such as non-recurring revenues like limited-term grants, land sale income, or transfers from other funds. This approach cannot be relied upon in the long-run (over multiple years). Measure 1B takes a step further than 1A by taking these temporary revenues out of the equation to see how much worse the revenue shortfall becomes at current spending levels.

Still, this may not show the whole picture. If certain current financial obligations are being delayed and not budgeted, then the financial position shown in measure 1B is still incomplete. Measure 1C takes into account "unbudgeted current liabilities" such as Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB); unbudgeted earned leave cash-out liabilities; maintenance and replacement costs of vehicles, technology, buildings, streets, and other properties and infrastructure. For the purpose of determining long run solvency, Measure 1C is the best indicator of a local government's true fiscal operating position because it reflects the level of spending actually needed to sustain your current level of service over time. If these deferred costs are significant, then your fiscal imbalance may be much worse than you thought. If you cannot find sufficient information to determine these unbudgeted liabilities, that, in itself, is reason for substantial concern (See indicator # 9).

1A Gro	oss Annual Defici	t/Surplus - unadjusted	d, using all general fu	nd revenues and	d expenditures		
	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	<u>2020-21</u>	<u>2021</u>	-22	<u>2022-23</u>
	21.60%	16.78%	-17.09%	-6.81%	-1.57	%	1.72%
	percent change =>	-22.29%	-201.84%	-60.17%	-76.9	9%	-209.52%
Formula	gross annual deficit/surplus as a percent of revenues	= (gross total revenues)- gross total re	(gross total expenditures evenues			For comparis	on purposes
1B Net	t Operating Defici	it/Surplus – sustainab	le, omitting non-recu	rring revenues			
	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	<u>2020-21</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u>-22</u>	<u>2022-23</u>
	15.80%	5.91%	-20.63%	-7.08%	-1.87	%	0.43%
Formula	net operating deficit/surplus as a percent of revenues	= (revenues) (temporary revenues - (net operative expenditure perating revenues	res Ares	ative Indicator ecurring and static or easing negative values	F	or comparison purposes

1C Net True Operating	Deficit/Surplus - comp	lete, adding unbudge	eted general fund liabili	ties						
<u>2017-18</u> <u>2018-19</u> <u>2019-20</u> <u>2020-21</u> <u>2021-22</u> <u>2022-23</u>										
15.80% 5.91% -20.63% -7.08% -1.87% 0.43%										
Formula			Score: Warning-Red: Persistent & i	ncreasing deficits over consec	utive years.	0				
operating net ope	operating deficit/surplus									
of revenues	net operating reve	enues	Good-Green: not an issue of	f concern.		0				

Definitions

Gross Total Revenues. See CAFR Statement of Revenues and Expenditures "total revenues."

Gross Total Expenditures. See CAFR Statement of Revenues and Expenditures "total expenditures"

Net Operating Revenues. Net operating revenues equals gross revenues and transfers in, minus revenues restricted to capital improvements minus revenues legally restricted to special purposes. Transfers related to non-operating activities should be excluded.

General Purpose Revenues. Discretionary taxes including property taxes (other than voter approved debt service), property transfer tax, general sales and use tax, business license tax, transient occupancy tax, utility users tax, construction tax, and other discretionary taxes; franchises; fines, forfeitures and penalties; investment earnings; rents, concessions, royalties; homeowners property tax relief reimbursement; non-restricted state and federal grants; and non-restricted transfers in.

One-time (temporary) Revenues. One-time (temporary) revenues include any non-recurring revenues that derive from short-term activities and cannot be relied upon in the long-run (over multiple years). This might include revenues from land sales, one-time transfers from other funds, limited-term grants, court settlements or major donations. Optionally, you might consider also excluding receipt of construction-related revenues from a given project that are significantly in excess of an "average" year, if this represents a level of revenue unlikely to be repeated. Back taxes and late payments do not have to be omitted because they just make up for what was not received in a prior year.

Ongoing General Propose Revenues. Ongoing General Propose Revenues equals total general purpose revenues minus one-time (temporary) general purpose revenues.

Net Operating Expenditures. Net operating expenditures equals total expenditures (after credits/reimbursements) and transfers out, minus one time expenditures capital improvement expenditures (or transfers out for capital purposes).

Unbudgeted Current Liabilities. The amortized costs of long-term general fund liabilities not already included in "net operating expenditures." This includes amounts not budgeted or expended that "should be" in order to pay the current year portion of liabilities. Examples: unbudgeted actuarially required contributions (ARC) to Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB) or pension systems; unbudgeted eave cash-out liabilities; maintenance and replacement costs of vehicles, technology, buildings, streets, and other properties and infrastructure. If there is insufficient information to determine these unbudgeted liabilities; that, in itself, is reason for substantial concern (See indicator #9).

The California Municipal Financial Health Diagnostic: Financial Health Indicators City of Hercules General Fund

Fund Balance

A positive fund balance, or reserves, is important for any government to withstand financial risk over time. Unanticipated fluctuations in revenues may occur from economic impacts or state take-ways. "Financial reserves" are important to meet unforeseen revenue shortfalls or expenditure overages. But reserves cannot be relied upon to cover financial shortfalls that are more than temporary. (See Indicator #7) An unplanned decline in unreserved fund balances as a percentage of operating revenues over time suggests the government is less able to withstand financial emergencies.

The right level of fund balance varies depending on many factors including levels of risk and revenue volatility but, generally speaking, dropping below 8% may be cause for concern. The Government Finance Officers Association recommends maintaining a 5-15% reserve.

2 Fund	Balance								
<u>20</u>	<u>17-18</u>	<u>2018-</u> 1	<u>19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	<u>2020-21</u>	<u>2021-22</u>	<u>2022-23</u>		
44	.06%	18.379	%	9.92%	9.16%	9.17%	8.85%	- 02 -	
Formula					Score: Warning-Red: Persistently 8	substantially decreasing or is	below	0	
	Fund balance as a percent of expenditures = unreserved fund balance net operating expenditures				Caution-Yellow: Has decreased but remains above 8% and there is a reasonable plan for stabilizing.				
			net operatir	ig experiorities	Green - not concerning			0	

Definitions

Unreserved fund balance is fund balance not reserved in accordance with state law, charter or contractual obligation. This includes total fund balance minus nonspendable, restricted or committed resources. However, you should review resources categorized as "committed" and include in "unreserved fund balance" any amounts that, even if only in time of emergency, could be accessed with action of the City Council / governing board.

Net operating expenditures. Net operating expenditures equals expenditures (after credits/reimbursements) and transfers out, minus capital improvement expenditures (or transfers out for capital purposes), minus expenditures of revenues legally restricted to special purposes.

	Fund Balance	Component	<u>s1</u>		
	Nonspendable	Restricted	Committed	Assigned	Unassigned
Total Fund Balance	X	X	X	X	X
Unrestricted Fund Balance			х	X	х
Unreserved Fund Balance (AKA "Balance available for assignment")				x	x
See Stephen J. Gauthier, "Governmental Acco	ounting, Auditing, a	nd Financial R	eporting." (The	"Blue Book") 2012 Governme

Capital Asset Condition

Capital assets must be maintained and replaced over time. This requires annual resource commitment such that the value of assets and asset improvements does not decline.

3 Capital Asset C	ondition								
<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	<u>2020-21</u>	<u>2021-22</u>	<u>2022-23</u>				
3.24%	-1.17%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	- -			
Formula ending net value of capital assets c									
Change in Capital Asset Condition	decreasing but there is a reas	onable plan for	0						
	beginning net capital as		Good-Green: not an issue of	f concern.		۲			

Liquidity

A decreasing amount of cash and short-term investments as a percentage of current liabilities suggests the government is less able to pay its short-term obligations. Increasing current liabilities at the end of the year as a percentage of net operating revenues indicates liquidity problems and/or deficit spending. Liquidity measures the amount of readily available financial resources relative to immediate financial commitments (current liabilities).

The California Municipal Financial Health Diagnostic: Financial Health Indicators City of Hercules General Fund

4 Liquidity						
2017-18	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	<u>2020-21</u>	<u>2021-22</u>	2022-23	
833.86%	773.12%	774.79%	711.77%	731.70%	731.70%	
F			0			Q4 -
Formula	cash and short term inv	estments	Score: Warning-Red: Persistently &	substantially decreasing.		0
liquidity 💻	current liabilitie	S		ased but there is a reasonable	plan for stabilizing.	0
			Good-Green: not an issue o	f concern.		۲
Definitions						
Current liabilities is the sum of IMPORTANT: Include Advances and amounts due Increases in debt service pa Cash and short-term investme	e to other funds, including int ayments due to financings .	ernal funds from pooled c	ash transactions or borrowing.	-	is payable accrued lia	abilities
necessary budget changes. Fixe	ercentage of net operating ex d does not mean static. Fixe al fund spending is labor cost ese costs are unavoidable. T	ed costs may be changing is for salaries and benefit: his indicator computes th	s: often these costs are anything the proportion of net annual operation	altered. g but fixed, as costs continue to ating expenses that these fixed	o escalate, but as long d and labor costs repre	g as the
Salaries and wages are compe Benefits include costs for contril Fixed costs are those costs ove than those costs already include contracted goods and services, e Net operating expenditures. Nut ransfers out for capital purposes Subsidy Expenditures / Transf	butions to FICA, pension, life or which the government has d under salaries, wages or b etc. et operating expenditures eq s), minus expenditures of rev	insurance, health insurar little control in the short menefits. Non-labor fixed of uals expenditures (after of enues legally restricted to	un because of contractual agree costs include debt service, retire credits/reimbursements) and train o special purposes.	ements, charter restrictions, or e health payments, lease-purc nsfers out, minus capital impro	chase payments, utiliti	ies,
5a Fixed Costs 2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	
42.83%			40.46%	41.37%	40.19%	
	40.33%	35.76%	40.40 %	41.37 %	40.1978	
		fixed costs operating expenditures		For comparis	son purposes	
2017-18	<u>2018-19</u>	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	_
95.60%	91.25%	84.93%	97.50%	97.45%	96.01%	
Formula Fixed costs and labor costs as a percent of expenditures	=	fixed + benefits + costs g expenditures	Score: Warning-Red: Increasing or Caution-Yellow: Has increa Good-Green: not an issue o	sed but is projected to stabilize	e or decline.	05 0 0
service or capital improvement c self-supporting. Sometimes it is (development fees, enterprise fu and/or if the trend is increasing. 6 General Fund Subsi	d themselves using the gene costs that should arguably be because debt obligations we nd) proved inadequate. The dy of Other Funds	paid by proprietary or sp ere incurred that burdened se subsidies limit budget	d the general fund with a pledge flexibility if they are a significan	is because user fees are not s to support bonds if the intende t portion of the general fund ne	sufficiently high enougl ed repayment source et operating expenditu	ebt jh to be
<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	<u>2020-21</u>	<u>2021-22</u>	<u>2022-23</u>	
0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	06
Formula	Subsidy Expend		Score: Warning-Red: Increasing or	over adopted policy.		0
Subsidy costs as a percent expenditures			Caution-Yellow: Has increa	sed but is projected to stabilize	e or decline.	0
oxponditatoo	net operating ex	penditures				0
			Good-Green: not an issue o	f concern.		۲
Constraints on Budgetar Constraints on the budgetary dis staffing. These legal constraints 7 Constraints on Budg	cretion of the agency include impair the agency's ability to			•		m
Do charter provisions or othe		racts, court decisions/s	settlements) restrict the City (Council's Score:		- 07 -
Binding arbitration: required				Warning-F Yes - restri		07

The California Municipal Financial Health Diagnostic: Financial Health Indicators City of Hercules General Fund

Formulas require minimum employee compensation, hiring or staffing levels, or spending levels or require the agreement of others.

General fund is pledged as support, or public facilities as security, for non-general fund debt.

Others: restrictions on contracting out, voter-approved tax expiring.

Financial Practices

An agency's financial and budgetary management practices may contribute to financial distress. Practices that should be rarely used include solving budgetary imbalances with temporary revenues or cuts (such as furloughs), internal borrowing from special funds beyond budget years (i.e. for more than cash flow), deferring pension or other employee costs, and backloaded debt service schedules. Financial trouble is also strongly correlated with a local government's failure to file financial reports on time.

8 Balancing the Budget with Temporary Funds					
	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	Score: Warning-Red: Yes,	
Has the general fund (budget or financial year close) been balanced with • reserves • selling assets • deferring asset maintenance or operating costs ?	N		b la	multiple years. Caution-Yellow: Yes but minimal/workable.	0 0
	No	No	No	Good-Green: No. Not a concern.	0
			emporary funds		
	adopted reser	ves and fund	balance policy?	Yes 🕑 No	1
9 Balancing the Budget with Borrowing	0047.40	0040.40	0010.00		- 09
log the general fund (hudget or financial year close) hear helphood with	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	Score: Warning-Red: Yes, multiple instances.	0
Has the general fund (budget or financial year close) been balanced with ● short-term borrowing, ● internal borrowing (including transfers that must be				Caution-Yellow: Yes but	0
repaid), • amounts owed to other funds from pooled cash?	No	No	No	minimal/workable. Good-Green:	_
				No. Not a concern.	۲
	an adopted po	olicy on short-	term borrowing	? 🗘 Yes 🕑 No	
	· ·	policy on inte	erfund transfers	? 🔾 Yes 🕑 No	
10 Balancing the Budget by Deferring Employee Compensation Co	r				
Has the general fund been balanced by deferring payments for or not paying he current actuarially determined costs of	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	Score: Warning-Red: Yes, multiple years.	C
 pension, other post-employment benefit liabilities (e.g., compensated absences, deferred comp, retiree medical, etc.) risk programs (e.g., workers comp and liability funds, etc.) 	No	No	No	Caution-Yellow: Yes but minimal/workable. Good-Green:	Ċ
pension obligation bonds which presume overly optimistic payroll growth?				No. Not a concern.	0
^{Q10} is there an adopted policy on the	payment of er	mployee comp	pensation costs	? 💽 Yes 💽 No	
11 Balancing the Budget with Backloaded Debt Service				IScore:	-
	<u>2017-18</u>	2018-19	2019-20	360/6.	Q 1
			2013 20	Warning-Red: Backloaded	
			2013 20	payments	
e.g., capital appreciation bonds, pension obligation bonds, or other financings with	No	No	No		C
(e.g., capital appreciation bonds, pension obligation bonds, or other financings with	No			payments Caution-Yellow: minimal/workable. Good-Green:	0
(e.g., capital appreciation bonds, pension obligation bonds, or other financings with ballon payments or deferred/increasing payment schedules over time)		No	No	payments Caution-Yellow: minimal/workable. Good-Green: Not a concern.	0
e.g., capital appreciation bonds, pension obligation bonds, or other financings with ballon payments or deferred/increasing payment schedules over time)	ed policy favo	No ring pay-as-y	No ou-go financing	payments Caution-Yellow: minimal/workable. Good-Green: Not a concern. ? ↓ Yes ♪ No	0
(e.g., capital appreciation bonds, pension obligation bonds, or other financings with ballon payments or deferred/increasing payment schedules over time) Q11a Is there an adopte Q11b Is there an adopte	ed policy favo ted debt man	No ring pay-as-y	No ou-go financing	payments Caution-Yellow: minimal/workable. Good-Green: Not a concern. ? ↓ Yes ♪ No	
e.g., capital appreciation bonds, pension obligation bonds, or other financings with ballon payments or deferred/increasing payment schedules over time) Q ^{IIIa} Is there an adopte Q ^{IIb} Is there an adopte Is there an adopte Is there an adopte Is there an adopted Is there an	ed policy favo ted debt man lopment, etc.)	No ring pay-as-y agement / aff	No ou-go financing ordability policy	payments Caution-Yellow: minimal/workable. Good-Green: Not a concern. ? Yes ? Yes ? Yes ? Yes	
Q11b Is there an adopt 12 Funding Operating Costs with Non-recurring Revenues (e.g. devel Has the general fund (budget or financial year close) been balanced relying on	ed policy favo ted debt man	No ring pay-as-y	No ou-go financing	payments Caution-Yellow: minimal/workable. Good-Green: Not a concern. Yes No Yes No Score: Warning-Red: Yes.	
(e.g., capital appreciation bonds, pension obligation bonds, or other financings with ballon payments or deferred/increasing payment schedules over time) Q ¹¹⁴ Is there an adopted Q ^{11b} Is there an adopted Q ^{11b} Is there an adopted Is there an adopted	ed policy favo ted debt man lopment, etc.)	No ring pay-as-y agement / aff	No ou-go financing ordability policy	payments Caution-Yellow: minimal/workable. Good-Green: Not a concern. ? ○ Yes ⓒ No ? ○ Yes ⓒ No Score: Warning-Red: Yes. Caution-Yellow: Yes but	
(e.g., capital appreciation bonds, pension obligation bonds, or other financings with ballon payments or deferred/increasing payment schedules over time) Q11a Is there an adopte Q11b Is there an adopte Q11b Is there an adopte Q11b Is there an adopte Q11b Is there an adopted Q11b Is there an adopte	ed policy favo ted debt man lopment, etc.)	No ring pay-as-y agement / aff	No ou-go financing ordability policy	payments Caution-Yellow: minimal/workable. Good-Green: Not a concern. ? ○ Yes No ? ○ Yes No ? ○ Yes No Score: Warning-Red: Yes. Caution-Yellow: Yes but minimal/workable.	
(e.g., capital appreciation bonds, pension obligation bonds, or other financings with ballon payments or deferred/increasing payment schedules over time) Q11a Is there an adopte Q11b Is there an adopte Q11b Is there an adopte Q11b Is there an adopte Q11b Is there an adopted Q11b Is there an adopte	ed policy favo ted debt man lopment, etc.) 2017-18	No rring pay-as-y agement / aff 2018-19	No ou-go financing ordability policy 2019-20	payments Caution-Yellow: minimal/workable. Good-Green: Not a concern. ? ○ Yes ⓒ No ? ○ Yes ⓒ No Score: Warning-Red: Yes. Caution-Yellow: Yes but	
Le.g., capital appreciation bonds, pension obligation bonds, or other financings with ballon payments or deferred/increasing payment schedules over time) Q ^{11a} Is there an adopte Q ^{11b} Is there an adopted As the general fund (budget or financial year close) been balanced relying on non-recurring revenues, such as from land-use development, to fund on-going opperating costs or debt service other than work associated with those temporary revenues? (e.g., developer fees or taxes, sales taxes from construction, etc. funding other than building/planning staff)	ed policy favo ted debt man lopment, etc.) 2017-18 No	No rring pay-as-y agement / aff 2018-19 No	No ou-go financing ordability policy 2019-20	payments Caution-Yellow: minimal/workable. Good-Green: Not a concern. ? ↓ Yes ♥ No ? ↓ Yes ♥ No ? ↓ Yes ♥ No Score: Warning-Red: Yes. Caution-Yellow: Yes but minimal/workable. Good-Green: No. Not a concern.	
Le.g., capital appreciation bonds, pension obligation bonds, or other financings with ballon payments or deferred/increasing payment schedules over time) Q ^{11a} Is there an adopte Q ^{11b} Is there an adopted As the general fund (budget or financial year close) been balanced relying on non-recurring revenues, such as from land-use development, to fund on-going opperating costs or debt service other than work associated with those temporary revenues? (e.g., developer fees or taxes, sales taxes from construction, etc. funding other than building/planning staff)	ed policy favo ted debt man lopment, etc.) 2017-18 No	No rring pay-as-y agement / aff 2018-19 No	No ou-go financing ordability policy 2019-20 No	payments Caution-Yellow: minimal/workable. Good-Green: Not a concern. ? ↓ Yes ♥ No ? ↓ Yes ♥ No ? ↓ Yes ♥ No Score: Warning-Red: Yes. Caution-Yellow: Yes but minimal/workable. Good-Green: No. Not a concern.	
(e.g., capital appreciation bonds, pension obligation bonds, or other financings with ballon payments or deferred/increasing payment schedules over time) (^{Q11a} Is there an adopte ^{Q11b} Is there an adopte ^{Q12a} Is there ^{Q12a} Is the	ed policy favo ted debt man lopment, etc.) 2017-18 No	No rring pay-as-y agement / aff 2018-19 No	No ou-go financing ordability policy 2019-20 No	payments Caution-Yellow: minimal/workable. Good-Green: Not a concern. ? ↓ Yes ♥ No ? ↓ Yes ♥ No ? ↓ Yes ♥ No Score: Warning-Red: Yes. Caution-Yellow: Yes but minimal/workable. Good-Green: No. Not a concern.	
(e.g., capital appreciation bonds, pension obligation bonds, or other financings with ballon payments or deferred/increasing payment schedules over time) Q11a Is there an adopted Q11b Is there an	ed policy favo ted debt man opment, etc.) 2017-18 No lopted long-ra	No ring pay-as-y agement / aff 2018-19 No Inge financial 2018-19	No ou-go financing ordability policy 2019-20 No plan and policy 2019-20	payments Caution-Yellow: minimal/workable. Good-Green: Not a concern. ? ♥Yes ♥No ? ♥Yes ♥No Score: Warning-Red: Yes. Caution-Yellow: Yes but minimal/workable. Good-Green: No. Not a concern. ? ♥Yes ♥No Score: Warning-Red: Yes.	
e.g., capital appreciation bonds, pension obligation bonds, or other financings with ballon payments or deferred/increasing payment schedules over time) Q11a Is there an adopted Q11b Q11b Is there an adopted Q11b As the general fund (budget or financial year close) been balanced relying on non-recurring revenues, such as from land-use development, to fund on-going operating costs or debt service other than work associated with those temporary revenues? (e.g., developer fees or taxes, sales taxes from construction, etc. funding other than building/planning staff) Q12a Is there an additional temports Have annual financial reports <i>not</i> been filed on time ? • Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR)	ed policy favo ted debt man lopment, etc.) 2017-18 No	No rring pay-as-yr agement / aff 2018-19 No Inge financial	No ou-go financing ordability policy 2019-20 No plan and policy	payments Caution-Yellow: minimal/workable. Good-Green: Not a concern. ? ↓ Yes ♪ No ? ↓ Yes ♪ No ? ↓ Yes ♪ No Score: Warning-Red: Yes. Caution-Yellow: Yes but minimal/workable. Good-Green: No. Not a concern. ? ↓ Yes ♪ No Score: Warning-Red: Yes. Not filed on time. Caution-Yellow: Yes but specia	
e.g., capital appreciation bonds, pension obligation bonds, or other financings with ballon payments or deferred/increasing payment schedules over time) Q11a Is there an adopted Q11b Q11b Is there an adopted Q11b As the general fund (budget or financial year close) been balanced relying on non-recurring revenues, such as from land-use development, to fund on-going operating costs or debt service other than work associated with those temporary revenues? (e.g., developer fees or taxes, sales taxes from construction, etc. funding other than building/planning staff) Q12a Is there an additional temports Have annual financial reports <i>not</i> been filed on time ? • Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR)	ed policy favo ted debt man opment, etc.) 2017-18 No lopted long-ra	No ring pay-as-y agement / aff 2018-19 No Inge financial 2018-19	No ou-go financing ordability policy 2019-20 No plan and policy 2019-20	payments Caution-Yellow: minimal/workable. Good-Green: Not a concern. ? ↓ Yes ♥ No ? ↓ Yes ♥ No ? ↓ Yes ♥ No Score: Warning-Red: Yes. Caution-Yellow: Yes but minimal/workable. Good-Green: No. Not a concern. ? ↓ Yes ♥ No Score: Warning-Red: Yes. Not filed on time. Caution-Yellow: Yes but special circumstances. Green- No: filed correctly and o	
(e.g., capital appreciation bonds, pension obligation bonds, or other financings with ballon payments or deferred/increasing payment schedules over time) Q ^{11a} Is there an adopted Q ^{11b} Is there an adopted Q ^{12a} Is there an adopted Q	ed policy favo ted debt man opment, etc.) 2017-18 No lopted long-ra	No ring pay-as-y agement / aff 2018-19 No Inge financial 2018-19	No ou-go financing ordability policy 2019-20 No plan and policy 2019-20	payments Caution-Yellow: minimal/workable. Good-Green: Not a concern. ? ↓ Yes ♥ No ? ↓ Yes ♥ No ? ↓ Yes ♥ No Score: Warning-Red: Yes. Caution-Yellow: Yes but minimal/workable. Good-Green: No. Not a concern. ? ↓ Yes ♥ No Score: Warning-Red: Yes. Not filed on time. Caution-Yellow: Yes but special circumstances. Green- No: filed correctly and o	
(e.g., capital appreciation bonds, pension obligation bonds, or other financings with ballon payments or deferred/increasing payment schedules over time) Q11a Is there an adopte Q11b Is there an adopte Has the general fund (budget or financial year close) been balanced relying on non-recurring revenues, such as from land-use development, to fund on-going operating costs or debt service other than work associated with those temporary revenues? (e.g., developer fees or taxes, sales taxes from construction, etc. funding other than building/planning staff) Q12a Is there an adopte Is there annual financial reports <i>not</i> been filed on time ? Is the	ed policy favo ted debt man lopment, etc.) 2017-18 No lopted long-rational 2017-18 No	No rring pay-as-y agement / aff 2018-19 No nge financial 2018-19 No	No ou-go financing ordability policy 2019-20 No plan and policy 2019-20 N/A	payments Caution-Yellow: minimal/workable. Good-Green: Not a concern. ? Yes No ? Yes No ? Yes No Score: Warning-Red: Yes. Caution-Yellow: Yes but minimal/workable. Good-Green: No. Not a concern. ? Yes No Score: Warning-Red: Yes. Not filed on time. Caution-Yellow: Yes but special circumstances. Green- No: filed correctly and o time. Score: Warning-Red:	

0 ()

Caution-Yellow: Yes but
minimal/workable.

Green - no restrictions

This v	worksheet is a tool to 1) help the analyst find the figures an		Data Ga	Municipal Finar athering	Worksh	eet	C	Hercules General Fund	ł
	ta Component	Source of Data	Use for Indicator	<u>Year-2</u>	<u>PriorYr</u>	CurrentYr (estimated)	Next Year (projected)	Year+2 (projected)	<u>Year+3</u> (projected)
				2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
а	Gross revenues	CAFR* Statement of Revenues and Expenditures "total revenues"	1A	16,490,956	17,563,562	15,799,376	16,170,051	16,986,000	18,013,000
a1	Transfers in	CAFR* Statement of Revenues and Expenditures "transfers in"		100,000	243,334	265,766	150,000	150,000	150,000
a2	Revenues restricted to capital improvements (by law or contract)	Staff analysis		0	0	0	0	0	0
a3	Revenues legally restricted to special purposes	Staff analysis		0	0	0	0	0	0
b	Net operating revenues	line "a" plus line "a1" minus line "a2" minus line "a3"	1B,1C	16,590,956	17,806,896	16,065,142	16,320,051	17,136,000	18,163,000
	Net operating revenues. Net operatill legally restricted to special purposes.	ng revenues equals	gross revenue	es and transfers	in, minus reve	nues restricted t	o capital improv	vements, minus	revenues
	General purpose revenues. Discretion tax, business license tax, transient oc investment earnings; rents, concession transfers in.	cupancy tax, utility us	sers tax, cons	struction tax, an	d other discretion	onary taxes; fran	nchises; fines, fe	orfeitures and p	enalties;
	On-time(temporary) revenues (other than those included in "c" or "d")	Staff analysis	1B,1C	0	0	-	0	0	0
	One-time (temporary) revenues. On upon in the long-run (over multiple yea or major donations. Optionally, you m "average" year, if this represents a lev for what was not received in a prior ye	ars). This might inclunight consider also experience of revenue unlikel	ide revenues cluding recei	from land sales	s, one-time trans	sfers from other ues from a give	funds, limited-t n project that a	erm grants, cou re significantly i	rt settlements n excess of an
d1	Salaries, wages and benefits			7,371,772	8,530,787	9,527,542	9,968,174	9,789,000	10,094,000
d2	Services and supplies			5,557,398	6,084,947	6,044,618	6,698,625	6,859,000	7,006,000
d3	Other			-	-	2,927,653	604,025	604,025	604,025
d	Gross expenditures	CAFR* Statement of Revenues and Expenditures "total expenditures"	1A	12,929,170	14,615,734	18,499,813	17,270,824	17,252,025	17,704,025
е	Transfers out	CAFR* Statement of Revenues and Expenditures "transfers out"		1,040,886	2,139,446	879,209	205,099	205000	381,000
f	Capital project expenditures (other than capital outlay that is not included in current expenditures)	CAFR* Statement of Revenues and Expenditures "capital outlay"		0	0	0	0	0	0
g	Other one-time or temporary expenditures	Staff analysis		0	0	0	0	0	0
h	Net operating expenditures	line "d" plus line"e" minus line"f" minus line"g" minus line"a3"	1A, 1C, 2, 4, 5	13,970,056	16,755,180	19,379,022	17,475,923	17,457,025	18,085,025
	Net operating expenditures. Net operating expenditures. Net operating legally restricted to special purposes.	erating expenditures	equals gross	expenditures m	iinus capital imp	provement expe	nditures minus	expenditures of	revenues
	Unbudgeted current liabilities	Staff analysis	1C	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Unbudgeted current liabilities. The amounts not budgeted or expended th (ARC) to Other Post-Employment Ber vehicles, technology, buildings, streets	nat "should be" in ord nefits (OPEB) or pens	er to pay the sion systems	current year po ; unbudgeted ea	rtion of liabilities	s. Examples: un	budgeted actua	rially required c	ontributions
j	Total Fund Balance	CAFR* Balance Sheet		44,315,832	45,367,548	42,053,668	41,733,000	41,811,000	42,527,000

This v	worksheet is a tool to 1) help the analyst find the figures a		Data G	Municipal Finar athering re data elements and ter	Worksh	leet	C	Hercules General Fund	ł
	ta Component	Source of Data	Use for Indicator	<u>Year-2</u>	<u>PriorYr</u>	CurrentYr (estimated)	Next Year (projected)	Year+2 (projected)	Year+3 (projected)
				2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
k	Nonspendable, restricted and committed fund balance	CAFR* Balance Sheet		38,160,614	42,289,343	40,131,335	40,131,692	40,209,692	40,925,692
I	Unreserved fund balance including General Reserve	line "j" minus line "k"	2	6,155,218	3,078,205	1,922,333	1,601,308	1,601,308	1,601,308
	 Unreserved fund balance is fund balance is fund balance is fund balance is fund balance. You should review resources cate be accessed with action of the City C Uncollectible loans or other received 	ed resources. Howeve egorized as "committe council.	er: d" and includ	de in "unreserveo	d fund balance"	any amounts th			
				Balance Componer					
	_			endable Restricted		ssigned Unassign	ed		
		otal Fund Balance prestricted Fund Balance	>	(X	X	x x x x	_		
	Un	nreserved Fund Balance KA "Balance available for assign	nment")		^	x x			
	1. See Stephen J. Gauthier, "Governmental A	Accounting, Auditing, and F	inancial Reporti	ing." (The "Blue Boo	k") 2012 Governme	ent Finance Officers	Association.	1	
m	Beginning net value of capital assets, <i>government-wide</i>	CAFR Notes to financial statements	3	78,918,108	81,477,062	80,525,448	80,525,448	80,525,448	80,525,448
n	Ending net value of capital assets, <i>government-wide</i>	and staff analysis (for projection)	3	81,477,062	80,525,448	80,525,448	80,525,448	80,525,448	80,525,448
	Capital Asset Condition. A high ra	atio suggests the gove	ernment is ke	eping pace, on a	average, with th	ne aging of its ca	pital assets.		
0	Cash and short-term investments (fund level)	CAFR* Balance Sheet	4	16,894,923	18,344,746	18,384,325	16,888,985	17,361,877	17,361,877
	Cash and short-term investments i		d and in the b	oank as well as c	other assets that	it can easily be o	converted to cas	sh.	
	Current liabilities (fund level) *Current liabilities is the sum of all I	CAFR* Balance Sheet*	4	2,026,101	2,372,811	2,372,811	2,372,811	2,372,811	2,372,811
p	accrued liabilities and other current lia IMPORTANT: Include Advances and amount Increases in debt servi Salaries + wages + benefits	s due to other funds,	including inte		pooled cash tra 8,530,787	9,527,542	9,968,174	9,789,000	10,094,000
q	Salaries and wages are compensati	ion paid directly to em	nployees. Be	nefits include co	osts for contribu	utions to FICA, p	ension, life insu	urance, health ir	isurance, etc.
	and current contributions to self-insur Debt service principal			700 (70	700 100	004 550	070.004	000 170	000.400
r s	(long term debt only) Debt service interest	Staff analysis Staff analysis		780,473	703,432	801,559	879,634 998,735	923,470 958,504	860,180 915,471
U	(long term and short term debt) Expenditures for federal, state or			.,,	.,	.,000,100			
t	court mandated activities	Staff analysis							
u	Pension payments (other than those included in "q" above)	Staff analysis and CAFR Staff analysis		496,822	1,044,935	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000
	Lease purchase payments	and CAFR							
w	Other long term contracts	Staff analysis		36,055	14,238	23,738	24,450	25,184	25,940
Х	Other fixed costs	Staff analysis		3,562,401	3,815,367	4,774,731	4,917,973	5,065,512	5,217,477
	Fixed costs	Sum of r through x above	5A, 5B	5,983,701	6,758,119	6,930,523	7,070,792	7,222,670	7,269,068
у	Fixed costs are those costs over wh law, other than those costs already in payments, utilities, contracted goods these costs by at least ten percent in	ncluded under salaries and services, etc. W one year."	s, wages or b	enefits. Fixed c	osts include de	bt service, retire	e health payme	ents, lease-purch	nase
z	Subsidy expenditures and subsidy transfers out	Staff analysis based on CAFR Statement of Revenues and Expenditures	6						