Hercules Bayfront Development – Blocks K,L,M,P,O

## **Project Information**

- Part of overall approved Hercules Bayfront Master Plan
- 168 Townhomes
- 10 (6%) are set aside for Low AMI qualified buyers
- 9.34 Acres; 4.46 Acres net
- Proposed density: 25.5 DUA
- 1.1 acres of public and community open space
- June 6, 2025, project was found to align with the applicable city objective standards





# Three varying façade designs

- Per design requirements
- Street and common area activation

**Chapter 2: Architectural Styles** 

#### Architectural Styles Allowed





### Live/Work Units

#### Waterfront Warehouse



## Live/Work Units

Spanish Revival



#### **Back-to-Back Units**

#### Gold Rush



#### **Back-to-Back Units**

Waterfront Warehouse



## **Row Townhome Units**

Waterfront Warehouse



## **Row Townhome Units**

Spanish Revival







View 1. Looking East from Bayfront Blvd



View 2. Looking North Toward the Bay from John Muir Pkwy



View 3. Looking West Toward the Project (Block MP) from the Bay Trail





View 5. Looking East Toward the Project (Block K&L) from the Refugio Creek Trail



1. LOADING DOCK DECKS



3. CREEKSIDE TRAIL



5. BIORETENTION PLANTING



7. PASEO









2. OVERLOOK DECK





#### HERCULES ENTITLEMENT SET BLOCKS K. L. M. O. & F

#### CIVIC SPACES PLAN

This project is what is commonly known as a "SB 330" Project. This means it qualifies for processing and protections under the Housing Accountability Act (or "HAA"), as bolstered by the Housing Crisis Act of 2019 (also known as "SB 330").

As part of adopting these laws, the California Legislature has declared that the State of California is experiencing a housing supply crisis, with housing demand far outstripping supply. In 2018, California ranked 49th out of the 50 states in housing units per capita.

The Legislature has also declared that California is in a housing supply and affordability crisis of historic proportions. The consequences of failing to effectively and aggressively confront this crisis are hurting millions of Californians, robbing future generations of the chance to call California home, stifling economic opportunities for workers and businesses, worsening poverty and homelessness, and undermining the state's environmental and climate objectives.

Because this is a housing development project under the HAA and SB 330, it cannot legally be denied by the City unless the City can make written findings, *that must be supported by a preponderance of evidence* in the administrative record for the project, that the project (1) would have an adverse impact on public health and safety, and (2) that no feasible alternative or mitigation exists to avoid the adverse impacts to public health and safety. A specific adverse impact is defined as "A significant, quantifiable, direct, and unavoidable impact, based on objective, identified written public health or safety standards, policies or conditions as they existed on the date the application was deemed complete."

It is the policy of the state that a local government not reject or make infeasible housing development projects that contribute to meeting the needs discussed the Housing Accountability Act and Housing Crisis Act without a thorough analysis of the economic, social, and environmental effects of the action.



Thank you for your time today